1	KAMALA D. HARRIS	
2	Attorney General of California DIANN SOKOLOFF	
3-	Supervising Deputy Attorney General KIM M. SETTLES	
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 116945	
5	1515 Clay Street, 20th Floor P.O. Box 70550	
6	Oakland, CA 94612-0550 Telephone: (510) 622-2138	
7	Facsimile: (510) 622-2270  Attorneys for Complainant	
8	BEFORE THE	
9	BOARD OF REGISTERED NURSING DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS	
10	STATE OF C	
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 2013-835
12	SHARON MARIE BARSTOW,	ACCUSATION
13	aka SHARON GRANT BARSTOW 147 AB Porter Road	
14	Pineville, LA 71360	N. Committee of the com
15	Registered Nurse License No. 576212	
16	Respondent.	
17	Complainant alleges:	
18	PART	<u> </u>
19	1. Louise R. Bailey, M.Ed., RN (Comple	ainant) brings this Accusation solely in her
20	official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Registered Nursing, Department of	
21	Consumer Affairs.	
22	2. On or about January 22, 2001, the Board of Registered Nursing issued Registered	
23	Nurse License Number 576212 to Sharon Barstow (Respondent). The Registered Nurse License	
24	expired on February 28, 2007, and has not been renewed.	
25	<u>JURISDICTION</u>	
26	3. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Registered Nursing (Board),	
27	Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section	
28	references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.	

- 4. Section 2750 of the Business and Professions Code ("Code") provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may discipline any licensee, including a licensee holding a temporary or an inactive-license, for any reason provided in Article 3 (commencing with section 2750) of the Nursing Practice Act.
- 5. Section 2764 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the expiration of a license shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary proceeding against the licensee or to render a decision imposing discipline on the license.
- 6. Section 118, subdivision (b), of the Code provides that the expiration of a license shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued or reinstated.

# STATUTORY/REGULATORY PROVISIONS

7. Section 2761 of the Code states:

"The board may take disciplinary action against a certified or licensed nurse or deny an application for a certificate or license for any of the following:

- "(a) Unprofessional conduct, which includes, but is not limited to, the following:
- "(1) Incompetence, or gross negligence in carrying out usual certified or licensed nursing functions.
- "(4) Denial of licensure, revocation, suspension, restriction, or any other disciplinary action against a health care professional license or certificate by another state or territory of the United States, by any other government agency, or by another California health care professional licensing board. A certified copy of the decision or judgment shall be conclusive evidence of that action."
  - 8. Section 2762 of the Code states:

"In addition to other acts constituting unprofessional conduct within the meaning of this chapter [the Nursing Practice Act], it is unprofessional conduct for a person licensed under this chapter to do any of the following:

"(a) Obtain or possess in violation of law, or prescribe, or except as directed by a licensed

physician and surgeon, dentist, or podiatrist administer to himself or herself, or furnish or administer to another, any controlled substance as defined in Division 10 (commencing with Section 11000) of the Health and Safety Code or any dangerous-drug or dangerous-device asdefined in Section 4022.

"(e) Falsify, or make grossly incorrect, grossly inconsistent, or unintelligible entries in any

hospital, patient, or other record pertaining to the substances described in subdivision (a) of this

section."

9. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1444, states:

"A conviction or act shall be considered to be substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a registered nurse if to a substantial degree it evidences the present or potential unfitness of a registered nurse to practice in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare. Such acts shall include dishonesty, fraud, or deceit.

# **COST RECOVERY**

10. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case, with failure of the licentiate to comply subjecting the license to not being renewed or reinstated. If a case settles, recovery of investigation and enforcement costs may be included in a stipulated settlement.

#### **DRUGS**

- 11. <u>Tylenol PM</u> is an over-the-counter medication designed to help relieve pain, lower fever, and make it easier to sleep.
- 12. <u>Percocet</u> (brand name "Oxycodone") is used to relieve moderate to severe pain. It is a Schedule II controlled substance pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 1055, subdivision (b)(1)(m) and a dangerous drug under Code section 4022.
- 13. <u>Chlordiazepoxide</u> (trade name "Librium") is used to relieve anxiety. It is a Schedule IV controlled substance as designated by Health and Safety Code section 11057, subdivision

# FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

3-

# -(Unprofessional Conduct - Out of State-Discipline)

 14. Respondent has subjected her license to disciplinary action under Code section 2761, subdivision (a)(4), in that on or about March 13, 2012, in a disciplinary action before the Louisiana Board of Nursing (Louisiana Board) entitled *In the Matter of the Accusation Against:* Sharon Marie Barstow, aka Sharon Grant Barstow, the Louisiana Board entered a Consent Order suspending Respondent's Louisiana registered nurse license, effective February 29, 2012, and to continue the license suspension with the opportunity to request reinstatement after completion of the following stipulations:

- a. Refrain from working in any capacity as a Registered Nurse. Failure to do so shall cause further disciplinary action and/or criminal charges.
- b. Submit to outpatient evaluation, at Respondent's expense, at a Board-recognized evaluation center which shall include psychiatric, psychological, and substance abuse evaluations and testing as deemed appropriate by the evaluators based on Respondent's history. Respondent shall authorize and cause a written report of the said evaluation to be submitted to the Board. Respondent shall include the entire evaluation report including diagnosis, course of treatment, prescribed or recommended treatment, prognosis, and professional opinion as to Respondent's capability of practicing nursing with reasonable skill and safety to patients.
- c. Submit all pages of the Louisiana Board's agreement to each evaluator prior to the start of the evaluations in order for the evaluation to be deemed valid.
- d. Respondent consents to the release of the following by Louisiana Board staff to Respondent's above-described evaluators: Any and all information, documents and other records related to conditions, diagnoses and matters described in this document.
- e. Immediately submit to all recommendations thereafter of the therapist, physician, or treatment team, and cause to have submitted evidence of continued compliance with all recommendations by the respective professionals. The Louisiana Board's stipulation shall continue until Respondent is fully discharged by the respective professionals and until approved

by the Louisiana Board's staff.

- f. If the evaluations give any treatment recommendations or findings to warrant concern for patient-safety, Respondent-shall meet with Louisiana Board or staff.—Respondent—must demonstrate to the satisfaction of the Louisiana Board that Respondent poses no danger to the practice of nursing or to the public and that Respondent can safely and competently perform the duties of a registered nurse. If the Louisiana Board subsequently approves licensure, a period of probation, along with supportive conditions or stipulations, will be required to ensure that patients and the public are protected.
- g. If diagnosed with chemical dependency or abuse of alcohol and/or other moodaltering substances that has compromised or may compromise Respondent's capacity to practice nursing with skill and safety, Respondent must immediately sign a Recovering Nurse Program (RNP) agreement and cause to have submitted evidence of compliance with all program requirements for a minimum of three (3) years. License suspension with stay and probation shall be extended to run concurrently, on the same dates, with RNP particiation.
- h. Immediately (within 72 hours) inform the Louisiana Board in writing of any change in address.
- i. Submit written evidence of completion of 20 hours of Louisiana Board staff approved continuing education hours in the area of Legal/Ethical Nursing Issues.
- j. Submit payment of \$200.00 to the Louisiana Board as cost of the Consent Order.
  - k. Submit payment of \$1,500.00 to the Louisiana Board in fines.
- 1. Not have any misconduct, criminal violation or convictions, or violations of any health care regulations reported to the Louisiana Board related to this or other incidents.
- m. Failure to comply with the above orders shall result in further disciplinary action.
- 15. The circumstances of the Louisiana Board's Order are that on or about August 31, 2011, through September 20, 2011, while employed as a registered nurse at Healthsouth Rehabilitation Hospital, in Alexandria, Louisiana, Respondent admitted that she medicated

patients with physician ordered Tylenol PM (with Benadryl) for the purpose of sedating and, in effect, chemically restraining those patients for Respondent's convenience during her shift.

Respondent also made grossly incorrect, or grossly inconsistent entries in hospital patient records in the following respects:

# A. Patient 1<sup>1</sup>

- 1. On or about August 31, 2011, at 7:54 p.m., Respondent removed Tylenol PM for patient 1. Respondent documented administration on the Medication Administration Record (MAR) at 8:00 p.m.
- 2. On or about September 1, 2011, at 9:22 p.m., Respondent removed Tylenol PM for patient 1. Respondent failed to document the administration of Tylenol PM to patient 1 or otherwise account for the wastage of the Tylenol PM.

### B. Patient 2

- 1. On or about August 31, 2011, at 8:02 p.m., Respondent removed Tylenol PM for patient 2. Respondent documented administration on the MAR at 8:00 p.m.
- 2. On or about September 1, 2011, at 9:29 p.m., Respondent removed Tylenol PM for patient 2. Respondent documented administration on the MAR at 10:00 p.m.
- 3. On or about September 5, 2011, at 8:27 p.m., Respondent removed Tylenol PM for patient 2. Respondent documented administration in the Nurses' Notes at 10:00 p.m.

## C. Patient 3

- 1. On or about August 31, 2011, at 8:14 p.m., Respondent removed Tylenol PM for patient 3. Respondent failed to document the administration of Tylenol PM to patient 3 or otherwise account for the wastage of the Tylenol PM.
- 2. On or about September 5, 2011, at 8:12 p.m., Respondent removed Tylenol PM for patient 3. Respondent documented administration on the MAR at 8:30 p.m.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Patient initials are used to protect the patient's privacy. Full names will be released to Respondent in discovery.

1	K.	Patient 11
2		1. On or about September 1, 2011, at 8:30 p.m., Respondent removed Tylenol
-3-	-PM-for-patient-1-1.—Respondent-documented-administration-on-the-MAR-at-8:30-p.m.—————	
4	L.	Patient 12
5		1. On or about September 1, 2011, at 8:48 p.m., Respondent removed Tylenol
6	PM for pat	ient 12. Respondent documented administration on the MAR at 8:45 p.m.
7	M.	Patient 13
8		1. On or about September 1, 2011, at 9:16 p.m., Respondent removed Tylenol
9	PM for patient 13. Respondent documented administration on the MAR at 9:10 p.m.	
10	N.	Patient 14
11		1. On or about September 5, 2011, at 7:39 p.m., Respondent removed Tylenol
12	PM for patient 14. Respondent documented administration in the Nurses' Notes at 10:00 p.m.	
13	Ο.	Patient 15
14		1. On or about September 6, 2011, at 7:46 p.m., Respondent removed Tylenol
15	PM for patient 15. Respondent documented administration on the MAR at 8:00 p.m.	
16	P.	Patient 16
17		1. On or about September 6, 2011, at 7:58 p.m., Respondent removed Tylenol
18	PM for patient 16. Respondent documented administration on the MAR at 8:00 p.m.	
19	Q.	Patient 17
20		1. On or about September 6, 2011, at 8:12 p.m., Respondent removed Tylenol
21	PM for patient 17. Respondent documented administration on the MAR at 8:00 p.m.	
22	R.	Patient 18
23		1. On or about September 6, 2011, at 8:40 p.m., Respondent removed Tylenol
24	PM for patient 18. Respondent documented administration on the MAR at 8:30 p.m.	
25	S.	Patient 19
26		1. On or about September 6, 2011, at 9:30 p.m., Respondent removed Tylenol
27	PM for pat	ient 19. Respondent documented administration on the MAR at 9:30 p.m.
28		2. On or about September 9, 2011, at 8:59 p.m., Respondent removed Tylenol
	1	

#### Y. Patient 26

- 1. On or about September 10, 2011, Respondent repeatedly attempted to persuade patient 26, against his wishes to take Tylenol-PM. Patient 26 complained to Respondent's supervisor the next day.
- 2. On or about September 10, 2011, at 9:10 p.m., Respondent removed 10 mg of Percocet for patient 26. Respondent documented administration of the Percocet at 8:30 p.m. Respondent did not actually administer the Percocet to patient 26 because the patient refused it. Respondent failed to account for the wastage of the 10 mg of Percocet.
- 3. On or about September 10, 2011, at 6:00 a.m., Respondent documented that patient 26 had no complaints of pain. Respondent removed 10 mg of Percocet for patient 26 at 6:12 a.m. Respondent documented administration of the Percocet at 4:10 a.m. Respondent did not actually administer the Percocet to patient 26. Respondent failed to account for the wastage of the 10 mg of Percocet.

### Z. Patient 27

1. On or about September 14, 2011, Respondent removed Tylenol PM for patient 27, when that medication had been discontinued on or about September 13, 2011. Respondent failed to document the administration or otherwise account for the wastage of the Tylenol PM.

## AA. Patient 28

1. On or about September 14, 2011, at 10:48 p.m., Respondent removed Tylenol PM for patient 28. Respondent documented administration on the MAR at 10:30 p.m.

## BB. Patient 29

1. On or about September 14, 2011, at 9:28 p.m., Respondent removed Tylenol PM for patient 29. Respondent failed to document the administration or otherwise account for the wastage of the Tylenol PM.

#### CC. Patient 30

1. On or about September 14, 2011, at 9:36 p.m., and again at 9:43 p.m., Respondent removed Tylenol PM for patient 30. Respondent documented the administration of one dose of Tylenol P.M. at 9:30 p.m. Respondent failed to document the administration or

otherwise account for the wastage of the remaining dose of Tylenol PM.

#### DD. Patient 31

1.—On or about September 14, 2011, at 10:41-p.m., Respondent removed Tylenol-PM for patient 31. Respondent documented the administration on the MAR at 10:30 p.m.

Respondent documented the administration of a second dose of Tylenol PM at 6:00 a.m.

#### EE. Patient 32

On or about September 14, 2011 at 11:09 p.m., Respondent removed Tylenol
 PM for patient 32. Respondent documented the administration on the MAR at 11:00 p.m.

### FF. Patient 33

- 1. On or about September 14, 2011, at 9:16 p.m., Respondent removed two 25 mg tablets of Chlordiazepoxide for patient 33. Respondent documented the administration at 8:00 p.m. Respondent removed an additional two 25 mg tablets of Chlordiazepoxide for patient 33 at 9:42 p.m., when there were no doctor's orders for the additional withdrawal. Respondent failed to document the administration or otherwise account for the wastage of the second withdrawal of the two 25 mg tablets of Chlordiazepoxide.
- 16. On or about the following dates and earlier than the charting incidents described in paragraph 15, above, Respondent demonstrated failure to maintain minimal standards of nursing practice as follows:
- a. Respondent failed to update most of the patient care plans and demonstrated only sketchy patient education documentation. On June 1, 2011, Respondent received a written warning for poor performance related to the tasks of patient education, documentation of patient education, and updating plans of care. Agency was preparing for a stroke certification survey and audited thirty-five cerebrovascular patient records, and discovered that Respondent failed to update most of the care plans and demonstrated only sketchy patient education documentation.
- b. Respondent failed to consistently complete Braden scale documentation on the weekly plans of care. On July 22, 2011, Respondent received a verbal counseling for, as discovered by internal auditors, failing to consistently complete Braden scale on the weekly Plan of Care updates. This issue was previously addressed on June 1, 2011.